IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COLUMBIA DIVISION

Dwight Xavier Jones,	)
Plaintiff,	) C.A. No. 3:10-2824-HMH-PJC
VS.	OPINION & ORDER
J. Merrill; LT Jeff Simmons,	)
Defendants.	)

This matter is before the court with the Report and Recommendation of United States

Magistrate Judge Paige J. Gossett, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local

Civil Rule 73.02 of the District of South Carolina. Dwight Xavier Jones ("Jones"), a state

prisoner proceeding pro se, filed a civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. In her Report and

Recommendation, Magistrate Judge Gossett recommends granting the Defendants' motion for

summary judgment and declining to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over any state law claims.

Subsequent to the issuance of the Report and Recommendation, Jones filed three letters, which the court construes as objections to the Report and Recommendation. Objections to the Report and Recommendation must be specific. Failure to file specific objections constitutes a waiver of a party's right to further judicial review, including appellate review, if the recommendation is accepted by the district judge. See United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91, 94 & n.4 (4th Cir. 1984). In the absence of specific objections to the Report and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility for making a final determination remains with the United States District Court. See Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). The court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objection is made. The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the magistrate judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Recommendation of the magistrate judge, this court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

Upon review, the court finds that Jones' objections are non-specific, unrelated to the dispositive portions of the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation, or merely restate his claims. Accordingly, the court finds that Jones' objections are without merit. Therefore, after a thorough review of the magistrate judge's Report and the record in this case, the court adopts Magistrate Judge Gossett's Report and Recommendation and incorporates it herein by reference.

It is therefore

**ORDERED** that the Defendants' motion for summary judgment, docket number 74, is granted and the court declines to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over any state law claims.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Henry M. Herlong, Jr. Senior United States District Judge

Greenville, South Carolina January 11, 2012

## NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

Plaintiff is hereby notified that he has the right to appeal this order within thirty (30) days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.